

**From:** [Estes, Sherry](#)  
**To:** [Onyszko, Monica](#); [Mark LaRose](#)  
**Cc:** [Debbie Hays](#); [Som, Kushal](#); [Frank, Nathan](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Metal Management/2500 S. Paulina  
**Date:** Thursday, May 01, 2014 5:50:04 PM

---

Mark, this is an addendum to Monica's email. Given the age of the information request (dated almost two and one-half years ago), EPA needs to know well before August 4, 2014 what Sims' intent is with regard to the stack testing. Please inform all EPA addressees of this message, within 10 days of this email (by May 12, 2014), whether Sims intends to go ahead with the testing as required by the November 3, 2011 information request. If Sims needs an additional short period of time to make its determination, the company should make this request, including setting forth its reasons for needing the extension, within the ten-day period.

If you care to discuss this email, call me at (312) 886-7164. We can also set up a call with your client's consultant, as long as every attempt is made to have that call within the initial 10-day period.

Sincerely,

--Sherry

*Sherry L. Estes*  
Associate Regional Counsel  
Region 5, U.S. EPA, C-14J  
77 W. Jackson, C-14J  
Chicago, IL 60604  
(312) 886-7164  
(312) 697-2058 (fax)  
[estes.sherry@epa.gov](mailto:estes.sherry@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Onyszko, Monica  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 01, 2014 4:55 PM  
**To:** Mark LaRose  
**Cc:** Debbie Hays; Estes, Sherry; Som, Kushal; Frank, Nathan  
**Subject:** RE: Metal Management/2500 S. Paulina

Hi Mark – Nathan is out, but Kush and I talked this over.

It seems along the way there was confusion about what emissions U.S. EPA wants tested at Sims. U.S. EPA maintains that Sims' shredder emissions should be tested, in accordance with the instructions set forth in the 11/03/11 information request. This includes complying with Method 204 (testing shredder emissions via a temporary enclosure). Also, Sims should test under worse-case conditions (also an instruction in the 11/03/11 information request), meaning oily and "dirty" material Sims normally processes should be fed into the shredder during the test. This sort of emission testing is not unusual for U.S. EPA to request of a facility.

Somehow the conversation about emission testing went in the direction of testing the Z-Box and

cyclone, which I then mistakenly thought were being used as pollution control devices for the shredder, but we've learned that this is not the case. So, shredder emission testing is still on the table and Z-Box and cyclone testing is not.

The language in the information request states:

"21. Within 65 days of the receipt of this request, Sims must perform the specified stack testing at the facility's shredder. Within 30 days after completion of those tests, Sims must submit the results of the stack tests for EPA review. Specifically, Sims must fulfill the requirements outlined below..."

So if we consider today to be day zero, stack testing should be done by Aug. 4.

In the meantime, if any issues arise in the course of planning and performing the emission testing, let us know.

Thanks,  
Monica

---

**From:** Mark LaRose [<mailto:mlarose@larosebosc law.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 25, 2014 1:32 PM  
**To:** Onyszko, Monica  
**Cc:** Debbie Hays; Estes, Sherry  
**Subject:** Re: Metal Management/2500 S. Paulina

Thanks Monica,

If you need anything else, let us know.

Mark

Mark A. LaRose  
LaRose & Bosco, Ltd.  
200 North LaSalle, Suite 2810  
Chicago, IL 60601  
(312) 642-4414  
Fax (312) 642-0434  
[mlarose@larosebosc law.com](mailto:mlarose@larosebosc law.com)

**Confidentiality Notice:**

The information contained in this electronic communication may be attorney-client privileged, attorney work product, or otherwise confidential information. If you have accidentally received this communication, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, attaching the original message, and delete the original message from your computer, and any network to which your computer is connected.

**From:** "Onyszko, Monica" <[onyszko.monica@epa.gov](mailto:onyszko.monica@epa.gov)>  
**To:** Mark LaRose <[mlarose@larosebosc law.com](mailto:mlarose@larosebosc law.com)>; "Estes, Sherry" <[estes.sherry@epa.gov](mailto:estes.sherry@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Debbie Hays <[Debbie.Hays@simsmm.com](mailto:Debbie.Hays@simsmm.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 25, 2014 11:49 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Metal Management/2500 S. Paulina

Thanks, Mark.

I'll talk this over with Kush and my supervisor when they're both back in the office.

---

**From:** Mark LaRose [<mailto:mlarose@larosebosc law.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 25, 2014 11:19 AM  
**To:** Estes, Sherry; Onyszko, Monica  
**Cc:** Debbie Hays  
**Subject:** Metal Management/2500 S. Paulina

Dear Sherry and Monica,

Please see the attached letter, photographs (2), and diagram. Thank you.

Mark

Mark A. LaRose  
LaRose & Bosco, Ltd.  
200 North LaSalle, Suite 2810  
Chicago, IL 60601  
(312) 642-4414  
Fax (312) 642-0434  
[mlarose@larosebosc law.com](mailto:mlarose@larosebosc law.com)

**Confidentiality Notice:**

The information contained in this electronic communication may be attorney-client privileged, attorney work product, or otherwise confidential information. If you have accidentally received this communication, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, attaching the original message, and delete the original message from your computer, and any network to which your computer is connected.